

# CYMPO METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

## MTIP Fiscal Years 2009-2013 for Federal and State Funded Projects

ITEM NUMBER	SPONSOR	PROJECT LOCATION	BEGINNING MILEPOST	LENGTH MILES	TYPE WORK	Functional Classification	LANES BEFORE/AFTER	FED AID TYPE	FED/STATE FUNDING	CYMPO FUNDING	LOCAL MATCH	TOTAL COST
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2009</b>												
1	Prescott Valley / ADOT	SR 69 MUP (Phase II) Glassford Hill to Starlight		3000 LF	Multi-Use Path			TE	\$ 756,528		\$ 135,472	\$ 892,000
2	Prescott Valley / ADOT	SR 69 MUP (Phase III) Starlight to Great Western		3700 LF	Multi-Use Path			TE	\$ 903,347		\$ 114,603	\$ 1,017,950
3	Prescott Valley / ADOT	Bison Lane Multi-Use Path		5100 LF	Multi-Use Path			TE	\$ 500,000		\$ 272,283	\$ 772,283
4	ADOT	Granite Creek Bridge	313		Bridge Replacement			BR	\$ 4,400,000			\$ 4,400,000
5	ADOT / Prescott Valley	SR 89A/Viewpoint T.I.	323.4	0.25	T.I. Design			STP		\$ 700,000		\$ 700,000
6	ADOT	SR69 Glassfordhill to Prescott East Hwy			Widening	Urban Principal Arterial	4 6		\$ 1,500,000			\$ 1,500,000
7	City of Prescott	SR 89A/Side Road Traffic Interchange			Construct T.I.	Urban Other Freeway		STP*		\$ 1,239,615	\$ 17,743,000	\$ 18,982,615
8	YPIT	Sundog at Granite Creek			Bridge Replacement			BR/BIA	\$ 500,000			\$ 500,000
								*HURF Exchange				
								FY 09 Totals	\$ 8,559,875	\$ 1,939,615	\$ 18,265,358	\$ 28,764,848
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2010</b>												
9	ADOT	Sundog Rd & Bridge	313.8		Turn-back	Urban Collector		State	\$ 1,400,000			\$ 1,400,000
								FY 10 Totals	\$ 1,400,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,400,000
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2011</b>												
10	Prescott Valley / ADOT	PV Pipeline (Phase I) Lakeshore Dr to Manley		5800 LF	Multi-Use Pathway			TE	\$ 500,000		\$ 179,650	\$ 679,650
11	Prescott Valley / ADOT	PV Pipeline (Phase II) Manley to Long Mesa		4100 LF	Multi-Use Pathway			TE	\$ 429,772		\$ 64,672	\$ 494,444
								FY 11 Totals	\$ 929,772	\$ -	\$ 244,322	\$ 1,174,094
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2012</b>												
12	ADOT / Yavapai County	SR 89 Chino to SR 89A	324.4	5.00	Widening	Rural Minor Arterial	2 4		\$ 15,000,000			\$ 15,000,000
13	ADOT / Yavapai County	Great Western Dr Extension N 89A to 89			New Alignment							
14	ADOT / Yavapai County	Fain Road to SR 169			New Alignment							
15	ADOT / Prescott Valley	SR 89A/Viewpoint Traffic Interchange	323.4	0.25	Construct T.I.			STP		\$ 677,350		\$ 677,350
								FY 12 Totals	\$15,000,000	\$677,350	\$0	\$15,677,350
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2013</b>												
16	ADOT / Yavapai County	SR 89 Chino to SR 89A	324.4	5.00	Widening	Rural Minor Arterial	2 4		\$ 10,000,000			\$ 10,000,000
17	ADOT / Prescott Valley	SR 89A/Robert Rd Traffic Interchange			Construct T.I.				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
								FY 13 Totals	\$ 10,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,000,000

### FUNDING TOTALS FOR 2009 - 2013

BIA=Bureau of Indian Affairs  
 TI = Traffic Interchange  
 STP = Surface Transportation Funds  
 BR = Bridge Replacement Funds  
 TE = Transportation Enhancement Funds  
 GVT = Local Government Funds  
 HURF=Highway User Revenue Funds

ADOT=Arizona Department of Transportation  
 CV=Town of Chino Valley  
 PV=Town of Prescott Valley  
 COP=City of Prescott  
 YC=Yavapai County  
 YPIT=Yavapai Prescott Indian Tribe

Note: Total cost (FY10 to FY13) reflects a built in 4% inflationary amount; annual year (FY09) reflects the year of expenditure.

Fed/State Total	\$ 35,889,647		
CYMPO Total	\$ 2,616,965		
Local Total This Page		\$ 18,509,680	
All Projects Total This Page			\$ 57,016,292

FY 2009-2013 MTIP Funding Summary for all projects			
Fed/State and CYMPO Funding Total	\$ 38,506,612		
Local Project Funding Total Both Pages		\$ 119,924,680	
All Projects Funding Total Both Pages			\$ 158,681,292



**CYMPO METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

**MTIP Fiscal Years 2009-2013 for Locally Funded Projects**

ITEM NUMBER	SPONSOR	PROJECT LOCATION	BEGINNING MILEPOST OR LIMITS	LENGTH MILES	TYPE WORK	LANES BEFORE/AFTER	OTHER FUNDING	LOCAL FUNDING	TOTAL COST
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2009</b>									
1	Prescott Valley	Lakeshore Dr	Lake Valley to Victor		Widening	2 4	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000
2	Yavapai County/Prescott	Williamson Valley Rd-South Project	Sidewinder Rd to Pioneer Pkwy		Widen	2 4		\$ 5,415,000	\$ 5,415,000
3	Yavapai County	Williamson Valley Rd-North Project	Pioneer Pkwy to Outer Loop Rd		Widen	2 4		\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,800,000
4	Yavapai Prescott Tribe	Tribal Connector 69/89			New Construction			\$ 1,100,000	\$ 1,100,000
5	City of Prescott	Demerse Av (Ruth/Demerse Phase II)	Whetstine to Montebello		Reconstruction	2 2		\$ 3,250,000	\$ 3,250,000
6	City of Prescott	Williamson Valley Rd	Sidewinder to City Limits		ROW acquisition	2 4		\$ 2,130,000	\$ 2,130,000
7	City of Prescott	Sundog Connector (Phase I)	PL Parkway new intersection		Intersection			\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
8	City of Prescott	Rosser St reconst/traffic calming Ph II	S. Blooming Hills to SR 89		Reconstruction	2 2		\$ 1,035,000	\$ 1,035,000
						FY 09 Totals	\$ -	\$ 19,430,000	\$ 19,430,000
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2010</b>									
9	Prescott Valley	Robert Rd	Spouse to Tranquil		Widening	2 4		\$ 3,200,000	\$ 3,200,000
10	Yavapai County/Prescott	Williamson Valley Rd-South Project	Sidewinder Rd to Pioneer Pkwy		Widen	2 4		\$ 9,075,000	\$ 9,075,000
11	Yavapai County	Williamson Valley Rd-North Project	Pioneer Pkwy to Outer Loop Rd		Widen	2 4	\$ 250,000	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 9,250,000
12	City of Prescott	S. Mt. Vernon Av	Gurley to Senator Hwy		Reconstruction	2 2		\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
13	City of Prescott	Senator Hwy	S. Mt. Vernon to City Limits		Reconstruction	2 2		\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000
14	City of Prescott	Park Av	Gurley to Copper Basin		Reconstruction	2 2		\$ 2,650,000	\$ 2,650,000
15	City of Prescott	Sundog Connector (Phase II)	PL Parkway to Storm Ranch		New street			\$ 1,850,000	\$ 1,850,000
16	City of Prescott	SR 89/Side Rd Connector	New roundabout		Intersection			\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
						FY 10 Totals	\$ 250,000	\$ 29,475,000	\$ 29,725,000
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2011</b>									
17	Prescott Valley	Navajo Dr	Superstition to Loos		Widening	2 4		\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
18	Yavapai County	Williamson Valley Rd-North Project	Pioneer Pkwy to Outer Loop Rd		Widen	2 4		\$ 16,650,000	\$ 16,650,000
19	City of Prescott	Williamson Valley Rd	Sidewinder to City Limits		Widening	2 4		\$ 7,680,000	\$ 7,680,000
						FY 11 Totals	\$ -	\$ 26,330,000	\$ 26,330,000
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2012</b>									
20	Prescott Valley	Robert Rd	Tranquil to Long Mesa		Widening			\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000
21	Yavapai County	Fain Rd			Widen	2 4		\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000
22	Yavapai County	Williamson Valley Rd-North Project	Pioneer Pkwy to Outer Loop Rd		Widen	2 4		\$ 7,500,000	\$ 7,500,000
23	City of Prescott	SR 89/Relocated Ruger Rd	Relocate Ruger Rd/new roundabout		Intersection	2 2		\$ 1,650,000	\$ 1,650,000
24	City of Prescott	Rosser St reconst/traffic calming Ph III	Campbell Av to Eagle Ridge		Reconstruction	2 2		\$ 1,650,000	\$ 1,650,000
						FY 12 Totals	\$ -	\$ 19,300,000	\$ 19,300,000
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2013</b>									
25	Yavapai County	Great Western Dr Extension	SR 89A to SR 89		New Construction	0 4		\$ 2,675,000	\$ 2,675,000
26	City of Prescott	Walker Rd Rehabilitation	SR 69 to City Limits		Reconstruction	2 2		\$ 705,000	\$ 705,000
27	Prescott Valley	Lakeshore Dr	Navajo to Badger		Widening	2 4		\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000
						FY 13 Totals	\$ -	\$ 6,880,000	\$ 6,880,000

**FUNDING TOTALS FOR 2009-2013**

Other Total	\$ 250,000	
Local Total		\$ 101,415,000
All Projects Total		\$ 101,665,000

**UNFUNDED / UNSCHEDULED PROJECTS (Potential Candidate Projects for Consideration in the MTIP)**

ITEM NUMBER	SPONSOR	PROJECT LOCATION	BEGINNING MILEPOST OR LIMITS	LENGTH MILES	TYPE WORK	LANES BEFORE/AFTER	OTHER FUNDING	LOCAL FUNDING	TOTAL COST
	COP,PV,YC	SR 69	SR 169 to SR 89 Traffic Interchange		Widening				Unknown
	PV, YC	SR 169 connector to Fain Rd							Unknown
	CV, YC	Chino Valley Extension							Unknown

STP = Surface Transportation Funds  
 BR = Bridge Replacement Funds  
 TE = Transportation Enhancement Funds  
 GVT = Local Government Funds  
 BIA=Bureau of Indian Affairs

ADOT=Arizona Department of Transportation  
 CV=Town of Chino Valley  
 PV=Town of Prescott Valley  
 COP=City of Prescott  
 YC=Yavapai County



**CYMPO METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**  
**MTIP Fiscal Years 2009-2013 for Federal and State Funded Projects**

**Anticipated Cost of Operations and Maintenance**

ITEM NUMBER	SPONSOR	PROJECT LOCATION	BEGINNING MILEPOST	LENGTH MILES				Anticipated COST
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2009</b>								
1	Yav Co	Iron Springs Rd		2.30				\$ 8,500
2	Yav Co	Senator Hwy		1.50				\$ 6,100
3	Yav Co	Williamson Valley Rd		17.5				\$ 66,200
4								
					FY 09 Totals	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,800
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2010</b>								
5	Yav Co	Iron Springs Rd		2.30				\$ 8,500
6	Yav Co	Senator Hwy		1.50				\$ 6,100
7	Yav Co	Williamson Valley Rd		17.5				\$ 66,200
					FY 10 Totals	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,800
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2011</b>								
8	Yav Co	Iron Springs Rd		2.30				\$ 8,500
9	Yav Co	Senator Hwy		1.50				\$ 6,100
10	Yav Co	Williamson Valley Rd		17.5				\$ 66,200
					FY 11 Totals	\$ -	\$ 0	\$ 80,800
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2012</b>								
11	Yav Co	Iron Springs Rd		2.30				\$ 8,500
12	Yav Co	Senator Hwy		1.50				\$ 6,100
13	Yav Co	Williamson Valley Rd		17.5				\$ 66,200
14								
15								
16								
17								
					FY 12 Totals	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 80,800
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2013</b>								
18	Yav Co	Iron Springs Rd		2.30				\$ 8,500
19	Yav Co	Senator Hwy		1.50				\$ 6,100
20	Yav Co	Williamson Valley Rd		17.5				\$ 66,200
21								
					FY 13 Totals	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,800
<b>FUNDING TOTALS FOR 2009 - 2013</b>								

BIA=Bureau of Indian Affairs

TI = Traffic Interchange

STP = Surface Transportation Funds

BR = Bridge Rplacement Funds

TE = Transportation Enhancement Funds

GVT = Local Government Funds

ADOT=Arizona Department of Transportation

CV=Town of Chino Valley

PV=Town of Prescott Valley

COP=City of Prescott

Yav Co=Yavapai County

YPIT=Yavapai Prescott Indian Tribe

Notes: This table, inserted beginning FY09, assists with reflecting how local funds maintain roadways that were originally built with federal dollars.

This may include items such as, but not be limited to: resurfacing, striping, signage, survey and other general maintenance.



**CYMPO**  
**STP (LOCAL) ALLOCATION & BALANCE BY FISCAL YEAR**

Project Location	FY	OBLIGATED (STPU \$) IN 1,000'S	FY 03 00.0	FY 04 510.0	FY 05 867.4	FY 06 688.7	FY 07 688.7	FY 08 688.7	FY 09 688.7	FY 10 688.7	FY 11 688.7
<i>Historical Usage by Fiscal Year</i>											
SR69/SR89 Traffic Interchange	FY 03	510.0	FY 03 STP ALLOTMENT =								509,979
89/89A Traffic Interchange	FY 05	1020.0	FY 04 STP ALLOTMENT =								509,979
			FY 05 STP ALLOTMENT =								867,371
			FY 06 STP ALLOTMENT =								688,675
			FY 07 STP ALLOTMENT =								688,675
			FY 08 STP ALLOTMENT =								688,675 *
			FY 09 STP ALLOTMENT =								688,675 *
			FY 10 STP ALLOTMENT =								688,675 *
			FY 11 STP ALLOTMENT =								688,675 *
			STP \$ REFLECT FEDERAL SHARE IN THOUSANDS ( BEGINNING IN FY 03 FEDERAL SHARE SHOWN IS 94.3% OF TOTAL COST) <i>*FY-08, FY-09, FY-10, FY-11 subject to change</i>								
<b>CYMPO Member STP Total</b>		<b>1530.0</b>									
<b>Active and Proposed Projects</b>											
	FY	Programmed \$	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	FY 06	FY 07	FY 08	FY 09	FY 10	FY 11
89A/Viewpoint Traffic Interchange	FY 07	1377.4				688.7	688.7				
89A/Side Rd Traffic Interchange*	FY 08	1239.6						619.8	619.8		
<i>*HURF Exchange</i>											
<b>FY Balance STP (Local) Funds</b>			<b>510.0</b>	<b>510.0</b>	<b>357.4</b>	<b>357.4</b>	<b>357.4</b>	<b>426.3</b>	<b>495.2</b>	<b>1183.8</b>	<b>1872.5</b>



## PAST TRANSIT FUNDING

Federal Fiscal Year	Local Match Section 5303 Requirement	Local Match Section 5307 Requirement		
2003	\$25,803	\$6,451		
2004	\$25,803	\$6,451		
2005	\$25,803	\$6,451	\$620,808	\$155,202
2006	\$25,803	\$6,451	\$638,032	\$159,508
2007	\$26,550	\$6,638	\$672,195	\$168,049
2008	\$26,550	\$6,638	\$729,918	\$182,480
	\$156,312	\$39,080	\$2,660,953	\$665,239

## FUTURE TRANSIT FUNDING

Fiscal Year	Location	Fund Type	Local Match*	Federal Match	Total Funding Available
Currently, CYMPO does not have transit projects listed as the region is undergoing a transit implementation plan. Reflected is estimated available future regional funding.					
2009	Region	5303	\$6,638	\$26,550	\$33,188
2009	Region	5307	\$182,480	\$729,918	<u>\$912,398</u>
<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2009</b>			<b>\$189,118</b>	<b>\$756,468</b>	<b>\$945,586</b>
2010	Region	5303	\$6,638	\$26,550	\$33,188
2010	Region	5307	\$182,480	\$729,918	<u>\$912,398</u>
<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2010</b>			<b>\$189,118</b>	<b>\$756,468</b>	<b>\$945,586</b>
2011	Region	5303	\$6,638	\$26,550	\$33,188
2011	Region	5307	\$182,480	\$729,918	<u>\$912,398</u>
<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2011</b>			<b>\$189,118</b>	<b>\$756,468</b>	<b>\$945,586</b>
2012	Region	5303	\$6,638	\$26,550	\$33,188
2012	Region	5307	\$182,480	\$729,918	<u>\$912,398</u>
<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2012</b>			<b>\$189,118</b>	<b>\$756,468</b>	<b>\$945,586</b>
2013	Region	5303	\$6,638	\$26,550	\$33,188
2013	Region	5307	\$182,480	\$729,918	<u>\$912,398</u>
<b>TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2013</b>			<b>\$189,118</b>	<b>\$756,468</b>	<b>\$945,586</b>
<b>*BASED ON 20% FOR CAPITAL OR PLANNING</b>					
<b>TOTAL FISCAL YEARS 2009-2013</b>					<b>\$4,727,930</b>

FTA Section 5303 - Metropolitan Planning Program

FTA Section 5307 - Urbanized Zone Area with Populations of 50,000 to 200,000 (This funding has to be applied for.)

All funding subject to change.



## Tentative Transit Projects

Objective: To program 5307 funds to benefit region prior to advent of anticipated fixed route system; accordingly, this retains the funds for use in the CYMPO region in lieu of shifting it to alternate Arizona entities.

ITEM NUMBER	SPONSOR	PROJECT Description	TYPE WORK Capital or Operations	FED AID TYPE	FED FUNDING	OTHER FUNDING	LOCAL MATCH	TOTAL COST
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2009</b>								
1	PV, COP, CV, YC, D-H	Enhanced Voucher System*	Operations	5307	\$ 250,000		\$ 250,000	\$ 500,000
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2010</b>								
2	PV, COP, CV, YC, D-H	Enhanced Voucher System*	Operations	5307	\$ 250,000		\$ 250,000	\$ 500,000
3		3 small vehicles	Capital	5307	\$ 200,000		\$ 50,000	\$ 250,000
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2011</b>								
4	PV, COP, CV, YC, D-H	Enhanced Voucher System*	Operations	5307	\$ 250,000		\$ 250,000	\$ 500,000
5		3 small vehicles	Capital	5307	\$ 200,000		\$ 50,000	\$ 250,000
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2012</b>								
6	PV, COP, CV, YC, D-H	Enhanced Voucher System*	Operations	5307	\$ 250,000		\$ 250,000	\$ 500,000
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2013</b>								
7	PV, COP, CV, YC, D-H	Enhanced Voucher System*	Operations	5307	\$ 250,000		\$ 250,000	\$ 500,000

\*Contingent upon local decision making, LTAF II, and federal reimbursement; the regional transit implementation plan is expected to be complete by winter of 2008.

LTAF II = Local Transportation Assistance Fund

FY = Fiscal Year

FED = Federal

ADOT=Arizona Department of Transportation

CV=Town of Chino Valley

PV=Town of Prescott Valley

COP=City of Prescott

YC=Yavapai County

YPIT=Yavapai Prescott Indian Tribe

D-H=Dewey Humboldt





## PREVIOUS FEDERALLY FUNDED TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT (TE) PROJECTS

ITEM NUMBER	SPONSOR	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE WORK	FED/STATE FUNDING	LOCAL MATCH	TOTAL COST
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2008</b>						
1	ADOT/PV	SR 69-Glassford Hill-Starlight Phase II	MUP	\$ 756,528	\$ 135,472	\$ 892,000
2	ADOT/PV	Glassford Hill-Bison Lane/Antelope Lane	MUP	\$ 554,000	\$ 272,000	\$ 826,000
3	ADOT/COP	SR 69/89 Traffic Interchange	Pedestrian component of larger project	\$ 800,000		\$ 800,000
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2007</b>						
4	ADOT/PV	Central Core Path	MUP	\$ 356,369	\$ 26,541	\$ 382,910
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2006</b>						
5	COP	Rails to Trails: Trestle	Pedestrian Bridge	\$ 207,083	\$ 12,917	\$ 220,000
6	PV	Civic Center to School Complex	MUP	\$ 500,000	\$ 272,883	\$ 772,883
7	YC	Hassayampa Bridge	Reconstruction	\$ 500,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 1,400,000
8	YC	Lakeshore Drive-Civic Center Secline 13/14	MUP	\$ 356,369	\$ 26,541	\$ 382,910
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2005</b>						
9	ADOT	JctSR69/89:Y.C. - Frnt Village	MUP	\$ 551,844		\$ 551,844
10	COP	Greenways Trail	MUP	\$ 482,788	\$ 29,182	\$ 511,970
<b>FISCAL YEAR 2004</b>						
11	ADOT/PV	SR 69-Navajo Drive to Glassford Hill Phase I	MUP	\$ 1,040,287	\$ 59,713	\$ 1,100,000
12	PV	LakeValley Rd to Mt.Valley Park	MUP	\$ 500,000	\$ 117,760	\$ 617,760

TE = Transportation Enhancement Funds

MUP = Multi Use Path

SR = State Route

ADOT=Arizona Department of Transportation

CV=Town of Chino Valley

PV=Town of Prescott Valley

COP=City of Prescott

YC=Yavapai County

YPIT=Yavapai Prescott Indian Tribe





Private Sector Transportation Improvement Program FY09							
Organization	Project Location	Type of Improvement/Initiative	Fed Aid Type	Federal	State	Local	Total
Prescott Alternative Transportation (Prescott)	Safe Routes to School Programming in 6 Local Schools	Education, Encouragement and Enforcement Programs	SRTS	\$44,282			\$44,282
<a href="http://tpd.azdot.gov/planning/srts_index.php">http://tpd.azdot.gov/planning/srts_index.php</a>							

SRTS=Safe Routes to School



# **FY09-13 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program**

## **Public Comment**



**CYMPO**

**Central Yavapai Metropolitan  
Planning Organization**

## Response to L. Barnes FY09-13 MTIP Comment

CYMPO – Comments on the MTIP 2009-2013

Name: Lisa Barnes  
Representing: Prescott Alternative Transportation  
Address:

[REDACTED]

Prescott Alternative Transportation (PAT) seeks to submit the following comments regarding Transit Projects included in the current MTIP document.

5307 federal funds for transit – The MTIP describes funding dedicated for “enhanced voucher system” and “3 small vehicles”. We understand these funds must be applied for. What are these funds able to pay for – primarily special needs transport? Are the vehicles listed vans for dial-a-ride services? Or are they actually small buses to be used in the fixed-route system?

If this funding stream is primarily special needs transport, are there federal funds available for the standard fixed route system?

5303 federal funds for transit – It is unclear what these funds are for. Planning costs associated with implementing the new transit system? Again, are there any other federal funds available for transit implementation, including costs of vehicles, etc.?

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the MTIP.

### **RESPONSE**

Section 5307 funds may be used for transit capital, operating assistance, and transportation related planning in urbanized areas, which may include, but not be limited to, special needs transport.

Eligible purposes include planning, engineering design and evaluation of transit projects and other technical transportation-related studies; capital investments in bus and bus-related activities such as replacement of buses, overhaul of buses, rebuilding of buses, crime prevention and security equipment and construction of maintenance and passenger facilities; and capital investments in new and existing fixed guideway systems including rolling stock, overhaul and rebuilding of vehicles, track, signals, communications, and computer hardware and software. All preventive maintenance and some Americans with Disabilities Act complementary paratransit service costs are considered capital costs.<sup>1</sup>

## Response to L. Barnes FY09-13 MTIP Comment

The vehicles are noted as “placeholders” for funding with the intent to obligate the funds for future use; if our region is not ready to implement a fixed route service due to economic factors or otherwise, potentially the vehicles may be utilized for client needs within our own human service community. This may include, but not be limited to, dial-a-ride services. Typically, these would be small buses.

Section 5303 federal funds are for planning, such as a transit plan, of which our region utilized and completed in April, 2007—these types of plans are precursors to transit service.

Eligible purposes include planning activities that (A) support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency; (B) increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users; (C) increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users; (D) increase the accessibility and mobility of people and for freight; (E) protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns; (F) enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight; (G) promote efficient system management and operation; and (H) emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.<sup>2</sup>

Other federal funds have been applied for as well through the Section 5310, 5316, and 5317 programs concerning mobility management. This involves collaboration with existing transportation providers to maximize the regions ability to deliver the best use of resources and strategies in providing access to the general public as well as special needs customers.

**Jodi Rooney**

*Administrator*

*Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization*

*(928) 759-5516 phone*

*(928) 759-3125 fax*

[jrooney@pvaz.net](mailto:jrooney@pvaz.net)

<http://www.cympo.com>



<sup>1</sup> Federal Transit Administration, Grants to urbanized areas and states for transit-related purposes, Statutory Reference: 49USC5307

[http://www.fta.dot.gov/funding/grants/grants\\_financing\\_3561.html](http://www.fta.dot.gov/funding/grants/grants_financing_3561.html).May 2008

<sup>2</sup> Federal Transit Administration, Metropolitan & Statewide Planning (5303, 5304, 5305)

[http://www.fta.dot.gov/funding/grants/grants\\_financing\\_3563.html](http://www.fta.dot.gov/funding/grants/grants_financing_3563.html).May 2008